



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/821,418

04/09/2004

Patrick Aichroth

SCHO0175

1229

22862 7590 06/30/2008

GLENN PATENT GROUP
3475 EDISON WAY, SUITE L
MENLO PARK, CA 94025

EXAMINER

GAUL, ALLISON W

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3685

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/30/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/821,418	Applicant(s) AICHROTH ET AL.	
	Examiner ALLISON W. GAUL	Art Unit 3685	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 April 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-12 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 09 April 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/23/2004, 09/29/2004, 07/08/2005, 10/14/2005</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgments

1. This Office Action is in response to the original application filed on 04/09/2004.
2. Claims 1-12 are still pending in this application.
3. Claims 1-12 have all been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-8 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ho et al, US PGPub 2005/0069129 (hereinafter referred to as Ho) and in view of Lee et al, US PGPub.2003/0078889 (hereinafter referred to as Lee).

6. Regarding claims 1-4, 8, and 11-12, Ho discloses a method for providing a virtual product from a first party (media content published on the internet by a publishing entity [0007]) to another party (internet user of the product [0007]). This initially obtained version is reduced in quality (video appears in grayscale only [0007]), and contains information about a specific type of playback environment (video is encoded to include information about a specific player necessary for unlocking color scale [0012]). The

video requires a password to unlock the color scale option, and this information is only available to users who have purchased usage rights (user requests password and rights [0012]), this information is then passed on to the user so that they may unlock the full features of the product (authorized user may enter password to view color scale [0012]).

What is not disclosed by Ho is the step of information about the distributor being disclosed. The Lee publication discloses use of network file sharing communities to distribute media files for the publisher ([0033]). Many of these network file sharing services such as Napster, who obtain the legal rights to use the media they distribute (use of the Peer to Peer service Napster [0033]) contain their own media player. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to for the information contained in the video which refers to player specifics to also refer to the distributor as many distributors have their own media services, and doing so would increase security by limiting authorized distributors of the media content.

7. Regarding claims 5-6, which refer to claim 2 as disclosed above and further recite the limitation of encrypting the product. Ho discloses the use of password protection and digital watermarking where the user is given a password after securing rights to the product (user can unlock the video to view full color scale [0012]). The Lee publication discloses encrypting a digital media file prior to distribution throughout the network (figure 2), and the step of a user receiving a key to decrypt the file (user receives private key upon paying for usage rights [0034]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to encrypt the media product prior to its distribution in

order to ensure additional levels of security on top of those provided by the reduced version of the product.

8. Regarding claim 7 which refers to claim 1 as discussed above and further recites the step of including additional information in the product. Ho discusses the inclusion of digital watermark in the video content ([0008]) which constitutes additional information.

9. Regarding claim 10 which refers to claim 2 as discussed above and further recites the limitation of information which indicates that a product has been passed on from a distributor to a third party or yet again to a further third party. Ho discusses use of a password that must be requested by the user (user requests access [0012]) and Lee recites a user requesting a private key for decrypting a file ([0034]). As the distributor in this system already had rights to the product, anytime a new password or key is requested the publishing entity will be made aware of the newly acquired possession of the file and will thus have information provided accordingly.

10. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ho and Lee as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Levchin et al, US Patent 7,089,208 (hereinafter referred to as Levchin).

11. Regarding claim 9 which refers to claim 2 as discussed above and further recites the limitations of providing a reward to a distributor. This limitation is not discussed by

Ho or Lee however Levchin recites the rewarding of a party based on soliciting the transaction of a third party (rewards based on introduction of new user to the system, column 11 lines 55-60). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to offer rewards to distributors based on the distributor information provided in order to increase incentive for distributors to promote a publisher's media products.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALLISON W. GAUL whose telephone number is (571)270-3616. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30 am to 5:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Calvin Hewitt can be reached on 571-272-6709. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3685

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/A. W. G./
Examiner, Art Unit 3685
06/23/2008

/Jalatee Worjloh/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3685